

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/710,696 | 07/29/2004 | Kuo-Chung Yee | 13184-US-PA | 4695 |
| 31561 HANO CHYLI | 7590 09/25/200 N INTELLECTUAL P | • • | EXAMINER | |
| 7 FLOOR-1, N | NO. 100 | | MATTHEWS, COLLEEN ANN | |
| ROOSEVELT TAIPEI, 100 | ROAD, SECTION 2 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| TAIWAN | • | | 2811 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/25/2007 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| | 10/710,696 | YEE ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Colleen A. Matthews | 2811 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | ea. A.M. I. |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | SUPERVISO A U 287 4) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/01/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

<u>Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph</u>, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the claim recites the limitation that the spacers are "surrounded by the glue" (lines 5-6) and then goes on to recite the "spacers are directly contacted with the dielectric substrate and the wafer" (lines 9-10). In order for both limitations to be satisfied the glue must not entirely surround the spacer and accordingly it is unclear the requisite amount of glue that applicant desires to surround the spacers and also allow for direct contact with the dielectric substrate and the wafer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0030074 to Mund et al. (Mund) in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0137723 to Noma et al. (Noma).

Regarding claim 10, Mund discloses a device grinding process comprising the steps of:

providing a wafer (Fig 9 element 312) having a plurality of chip units thereon, wherein the surface of each chip unit has at least a device (element 318);

attaching a dielectric substrate (302) over the device on the surface of the wafer by an amount of glue, such that a constant gap (H') is maintained between the dielectric substrate and the wafer, wherein the glue (314) comprises a plurality of spacers (310) surrounded by the glue therein; and

grinding (paragraph 0111, lines 10) the surface of the dielectric substrate away from the wafer or the surface of the wafer away from the dielectric substrate.

Mund fails to explicitly disclose the grinding of the surface of the dielectric substrate or wafer after attaching a dielectric substrate over the device on the surface of the wafer. Noma discloses a wafer (Figure 1 element 1a) and attaching a dielectric substrate (Figure 2 element 4) and grinding of the surface of the wafer (shown in Figure

Art Unit: 2811

2, paragraph [0034]) after attaching the dielectric substrate (4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mund to have the grinding of the surface of the wafer after attaching the dielectric substrate as in Noma in order to have the dielectric substrate provide device support during the grinding process.

Regarding claim 11, Mund discloses where the device comprises a photoelectric device (318).

Regarding claim 12, Mund discloses the photoelectric device grinding process where the photoelectric device comprises an image sensor (Figure 9 element 318, paragraph 0011, line 6).

Regarding claim 13, Mund discloses the photoelectric device grinding process where the photoelectric device comprises a micro-mechanical structure (paragraph 0021 and 0022).

Regarding claim 14, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the micro-mechanical structure (Fig 9, element 318) protrudes from the surface of the wafer (312) by a height smaller than the gap (H') between the dielectric substrate (302) and the wafer (312).

Regarding claim 17, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the spacers are silicon oxide (paragraph 0025 lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 18, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the material constituting the glue is selected from the group of ultraviolet cured plastic and epoxy resin (epoxy; paragraph 0105 line 1).

Regarding claim 19, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the step of grinding the dielectric substrate or the wafer comprises mechanical grinding (paragraph 0111, lines 10).

Regarding claim 20, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the dielectric substrate comprises a glass substrate or silicon substrate (element 302, glass; paragraph 0111 line 1).

Regarding claims 21, Mund discloses the device grinding process where the glue (Figure 9 element 314), each chip unit (312) and the dielectric substrate (302) together form at least a sealed chamber (316) such that the photoelectric device (318) is enclosed within the sealed chamber.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (Remarks page 7 point 3) that Mund's glue 314 does not contain the spacer 310. Examiner notes the claim language involves the use of "surrounds" is not limited to fully surrounding and the claim language does not recite "contained." Additionally the examiner notes that in applicant's Figures 1C, 1D, 2B, 2C part of the spacer, where adjoining to the substrate and the wafer, is not surrounded by the glue.

Applicant's remaining arguments with respect to claims 1 and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Pat. No. 5,101,099 to Funada et al. discloses spacers (31) surrounded by adhesive (30) in Figure 6.
- U.S. Pat. No. 4,565,928 to Yamamoto et al. discloses spacers (20) surrounded by adhesive (13) in Figure 10.
- U.S. Pub. No. 2006/0043555 to Liu filed after this application does not qualify as prior art but is relevant art due to its use of spacers (104) with an adhesive (106) surrounding and in direct contact with both a substrate and wafer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen A. Matthews whose telephone number is 571-272-1667. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,696

Art Unit: 2811

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CAM 09/16/2007

LYNNE GURLEY

SUPERVISORY PALENT EXT